## CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD OF BALTIMORE CITY Semi-Annual Statistical Report January 1, 2004 through June 30, 2004

In the six-month period from January 1, 2004 through June 30, 1004, the Civilian Review Board reviewed <u>87</u> completed complaint investigations submitted by the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore Police Department. The <u>87</u> complaints reviewed by the Board contained approximately <u>164</u> total allegations. There were <u>75</u> allegations of excessive force, <u>84</u> allegations of abusive language, and <u>5</u> allegations of harassment. Of the <u>87</u> complaints reviewed by the Board, none were actually filed during this period.

Of the 75 allegations of excessive force reviewed by the Board, the BPD Internal Affairs Division found 56 NOT SUSTAINED, 7 SUSTAINED, 5 UNFOUNDED, and 5 EXONERATED. There were two allegations which completed investigations were not available. For the same 75 allegations of excessive force the Civilian Review Board found, 56 NOT SUSTAINED, 13 SUSTAINED, 6 Tie-Votes resulting in no clear recommendation.

Of the <u>84</u> allegations of abusive language reviewed by the Board, the BPD Internal Affairs Division found <u>78</u> NON SUSTAINED, <u>3</u> SUSTAINED, AND 3 UNFOUNDED. For the same <u>84</u> allegations of abusive language reviewed by the Board, the Civilian Review Board found <u>64</u> NON SUSTAINED, <u>13</u> SUSTAINED, <u>2</u> EXONERATED, and <u>5</u> Tie-Votes resulting in no clear recommendation.

Of the 5 allegations of harassment reviewed by the Board, the Internal Affairs Division of the BPD found 2 NOT SUSTAINED, 0 SUSTAINED, 1 UNFOUNDED, 2 NOT SUSTAINED, 0 EXONERATED. Two allegations of harassment did not result in completed investigations. For the same 5 allegations of harassment reviewed by the Board, the Civilian Review Board found 5 NOT SUSTAINED.

In this six-month review period the Board recommended reversing the Not Sustained finding of IAD to Sustained on 6 allegations of Excessive Force, and on 10 allegations of Abusive Language.

During this same six-month review period (January 1, 2004 through June 30, 2004) <u>56</u> complaints were received by the Civilian Review Board against members of the Baltimore Police Department. There were no complaints received against members of the Sheriff's Office, <u>1</u> complaint against the School Police, and <u>3</u> complaints against the Housing Authority Police.

Of the <u>60</u> total complaints processed by the Board, a simultaneous investigation was requested to be done by the Board's investigators on <u>27</u> complaints.

The 60 complaints processed by the Board this review period compares with 45 complaints received in the preceding six-month review period.

## CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD of BALTIMORE CITY Semi-Annual Statistical Report July 1, 2004 through December 31, 2004

In the sixth-month period from July 1, 2004 through December 31, 2004, the Civilian Review Board reviewed 44 completed investigations submitted by the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore City Police Department. The Board reviewed 1 completed investigation involving the Baltimore School Police. The Board reviewed 2 completed investigations involving the Housing Authority of Police of Baltimore City. The Board reviewed 1 completed investigation submitted by the Sheriff's Office of Baltimore City. The 44 complaints reviewed from the Baltimore Police Department contained 50 allegations of Excessive Force, 36 allegations of Abusive Language, and 8 allegations of Harassment. The 1 complaint reviewed from the Baltimore School Police contained 2 allegations of Excessive Force. The 2 complaints from the Housing Authority Police contained 3 allegations of Excessive Force. The 1 complaint from the Sheriff's Office of Baltimore City contained 6 allegations of Excessive Force. The total number of complaints reviewed was 48.

Of the 48 complaints reviewed for the period, none were actually filed during this period.

Of the <u>61</u> allegations of Excessive Force reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that: <u>6</u> of the allegations were UNFOUNDED, <u>43</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>3</u> were EXONERATED, and <u>1</u> were SUSTAINED, <u>8</u> were either NOT INVESTIGATED or ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSED. For the same <u>61</u> allegations of EXCESSIVE FORCE, the Civilian Review Board found, <u>53</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were EXONERATED, <u>5</u> were SUSTAINED and <u>3</u> resulted in a TIE-VOTE (no recommendation made).

Of the <u>36</u> allegations of Abusive Language reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that: <u>2</u> were UNFOUNDED, <u>29</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>1</u> were SUSTAINED, <u>4</u> were either NOT INVESTIGATED OR ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSED. For the same reason <u>36</u> allegations of Abusive
Language the Civilian Review Board found: <u>28</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were EXONERATED, <u>3</u>

were SUSTAINED, AND 5 resulted in a TIE-VOTE (no recommendation made).

Of the § allegations of Harassment reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that: 2 were NOT SUSTAINED, 5 were UNFOUNDED, 0 SUSTAINED, 0 EXONERATED, 1 was either Administratively Closed or there was NO INVESTIGATION. For the same 8 allegations the Civilian Review Board found: 7 were NOT SUSTAINED, 0 EXONERATED, 0 SUSTAINED, 1 resulted in a TIE-VOTE (no recommendation).

During this same period (July 1, 2004 through December 31, 2004) 41 complaints were received by the Civilian Review Board against members of the Baltimore Police Department. There were no complaints received against members of the Sheriff's Office, School Police, or Housing Authority Police.

Of the  $\underline{41}$  complaints processed by the Board, a simultaneous investigation was requested to be done by the Board's investigators on  $\underline{25}$  complaints.

The 41 complaints processed by the Board contained approximately 55 allegations.

In this six-month review period, the Board recommended reversing the NOT SUSTAINED finding of IAD to SUSTAINED on 6 complaints. The Board reversed 1 UNFOUNDED finding to SUSTAINED.

The  $\underline{41}$  complaints processed in this review period compares with 60 filed in the preceding six-month period.